UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/683,593	10/10/2003	Palanisamy Arjunan	2002B147/2	2316
23.00	7590 · 12/21/2006 L CHEMICAL COMPAN	· V	EXAM	INER
5200 BAYWAY	· ·	1	HARLAN, I	ROBERT D
P.O. BOX 2149 BAYTOWN, TX			ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 1713	
BATTOWN, 17	X 11322-21 <del>4</del> 7			
SHORTENED STATUTORY	PERIOD OF RESPONSE	MAIL DATE	DELIVER	Y MODE
3 MON	NTHS	12/21/2006	PAF	PER ·

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

			8
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/683,593	ARJUNAN ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robert D. Harlan	1713	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  (36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tirgoid apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. (C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 N	lovember 2006.		
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☐ This	s action is non-final.	·	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	,		
closed in accordance with the practice under b	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-49 and 58-70 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdensity of the above claim(s) is/are allowed.  5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are rejected.  7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	rawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			1
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 11.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Settion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d)	).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	es have been received. Es have been received in Application of the second	ion No ed in this National Stage	
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)			
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		

Application/Control Number: 10/683,593 Page 2

Art Unit: 1713

#### DETAILED ACTION

## Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

- 1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after allowance. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 11/15/2006 has been entered.
- 2. The IDS filed by the Applicant on 11/15/2006 has been entered and considered.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 1713

- 4. Claims 1-49 and 58-70 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Weng et al., U.S. Patent No. 6,225,432 (hereinafter "Weng").
- 5. Weng discloses branched polypropylene compositions. which have improved melt strength wherein the melting points are greater than 90 C. See Weng, Abstract; col. 1, line 56 through col. 2, line 16. Weng further discloses that the branched polypropylenes can be prepared from one or more metallocenes. See Weng Claims 8 and 11. Weng further discloses a wide assortment of metallocenes including metallocenes described in the present claimed invention. See Weng, col. 7, lines 34-41. Although Weng does not disclose all the characteristics and properties of the branched polypropylenes disclosed in the present claims, based on the substantially identical process using substantially identical catalysts and co-catalysts and the close relationship between "heat of fusion" and melting point, the Examiner has a reasonable basis to believe that the properties claimed in the present invention is inherent in the branched polypropylenes disclosed by Weng. Because the PTO has no means to conduct analytical experiments, the burden of proof is shifted to the Applicants to prove that the properties are not inherent. See In re Fitzgerald, 619 F.2d 67, 205 USPQ 594

Art Unit: 1713

(CCPA 1980); <u>In re Best</u>, 195 USPQ 430 (CCPA 1977); <u>In re Napier</u>, 55 F.3d 610, 613, 34 USPQ2d 1782, 1784 (Fed. Cir. 1995).

6. In conclusion the Examiner contends: (1) the metallocene used in Weng are substantially identical to the metallocenes used in the present invention, (2) the melting point teaches and branching data do not teach away from the teach of the present invention, but are consistent with the properties disclosed in the present invention, (3) considering that Weng teaches the use of more than one substantially identical metallocenes, the preparations in Weng and present invention are substantially identical. The Examiner further contends it is obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to arrive at the claimed unimodal polypropylene, because it appears that the claimed unimodal polypropylene are within the generic disclosure of Weng and a person of ordinary skill in the art would have expected all embodiment of Weng to have similar properties. Furthermore, Applicant has not demonstrated that the differences, if any, between the claimed branched polypropylene and the propylene polymers disclosed by Weng give rise to unexpected results. evidence presented to rebut the prima facie case of obviousness must be commensurate in scope with the claims to which it pertains. See In re Dill and Scales, 202 USPQ 805 (CCPA 1979).

Art Unit: 1713

#### Conclusion

- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robert D. Harlan whose telephone number is (571) 272-1102. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri, 10 AM 8 PM.
- 8. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David W. Wu can be reached on (571) 273-1114. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.
- 9. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Robert D. Harlan Primary Examiner Art Unit 1713